JESRR International Journal of Education and Science Research

Review June- 2017, Volume-4, Issue-3 P-ISSN 2349-1817, E- ISSN 2348-6457 Email- editor@ijesrr.org

INVENTORY MODEL FOR DECAYING ITEMS WITH PARTIAL BACKLOGGING AND INFLATION UNDER TRADE CREDITS

Kalpana	Dr. Kapil Kumar Bansal
Research Scholar	Supervisor
Mewar University	Mewar University
Rajasthan	Rajasthan

ABSTRACT

Inventory represents a extremely important division of the company's financial assets, it is very much affected by the market's response to various situations, especially inflation. Inflation is a global phenomenon in present day times. Inflation can be defined as that state of disequilibrium in which an expansion of purchasing power tends to cause or is the effect of an increase in the price level. A period of prolonged, persistent and continuous inflation results in the economic, political, social and moral disruption of society. Inflation can mean either an increase in the money supply or an increase in price levels. Generally, when we hear about inflation, we are hearing about a rise in prices compared to some benchmark. If the money supply has been increased, this will usually manifest itself in higher price levels - it is simply a matter of time. Inflation is the primary reason that companies need to put so much effort into valuing their inventory. In the past most studies in inventory models did not consider the influences of inflation. This was due to the belief that inflation would not influence the inventory policy to any significant degree. This belief is unrealistic since the resource of an enterprise is highly correlated to the return of investment. The concept of the inflation should be considered especially for long-term investment and forecasting.

Key words : Inventory, inflation, increase

www.ijesrr.org

INTRODUCTION & REVIEW OF LITERATURE

The recent years there is a state of interest of studying time dependent demand rate. It is observed that the demand rate of newly launched products such as electronics items, mobile phones and fashionable garments increases with time and later it becomes constant. Deterioration of items cannot be avoided in business scenarios. In most of the cases the demand for items increases with time and the items that are stored for future use always loose part of their value with passage of time. In inventory this phenomenon is known as deterioration of items. The rate of deterioration is very small in some items like hardware, glassware, toys and steel. The items such as medicine, vegetables, gasoline alcohol, radioactive chemicals and food grains deteriorate rapidly over time so the effect of deterioration of physical goods cannot be ignored in many inventory systems. The deterioration of goods is a realistic phenomenon in many inventory systems and controlling of deteriorating items becomes a measure problem in any inventory system. Due to deterioration the problem of shortages occurs in any inventory system and shortage is a fraction that is not available to satisfy the demand of the customers in a given period of time. Manjusri Basu and Sudipta Sinha [2007] extended the Yan and Cheng model [1998] for time dependent backlogging rate. Rau et al. [2004] considered an inventory model for determining an economic ordering policy of deteriorating items in a supply chain management system. Teng and Chang [2005] determined an economic production quantity in an inventory model for deteriorating items. Dave and Patel [1983] developed an inventory model together with an instantaneous replenishment policy for deteriorating items with time proportional demand and no shortage. Roy and Chaudhury [1983] considered an order level inventory model with finite rate of replenishment and allowing shortages.

Mishra [1975], Dev and Chaudhuri [1986] assumed time dependent deterioration rate in their models. In this regard an extended summary was given by Raafat[1991]. Berrotoni [1962] discussed the difficulties of fitting empirical data to mathematical distributions. Mandal and Phaujdar [1989] developed a production inventory model for deteriorating items with stock dependent demand and uniform rate of production. In this direction

International Journal of Education and Science Research ReviewP-ISSN 2349-1817www.ijesrr.orgJune- 2017, Volume-4, Issue-3Email- editor@ijesrr.orgE-ISSN 2348-6457

some work also done by Padmanabhan and Vrat [1995]. Chandra and Bahner [1988], Jesse et al. [1983], Mishra [1979] developed their models and show the effect of inflation in inventory models by taking a constant rate of inflation. Liao et al [2000] discuss the effect of permissible delay in payment for an inventory model of deteriorating items under inflation. Bhahmbhatt [1982] developed an EOQ model under price dependent inflation rate. Ray and Chaudhuri [1997] considered an EOQ model with shortages under the effect of inflation and time discount. Goyal [1985] developed an EOQ model under the conditions of permissible delay in payment. Chung et al [2002] and Hung [2003] considered an optimal replenishment policy for EOQ model under permissible delay in payments. Vinod kumar Mishra and Lal sahab singh 2010] developed an inventory model for deteriorating items with time dependent demand and partial backlogging. Further Vinod kumar Mishra [2013] developed an inventory model for random deteriorating items with timedependent demand and partial backlogging. It has been observed that the unsatisfied demand is completely back-logged and during the shortage period either all the customers wait for the arrival of next order (completely backlogged) or all the customers leave the system (completely lost). The length of waiting time for the replenishment is the main factor for determining whether the backlogging is accepted or not.

The mathematical model developed in this chapter is based on the following assumptions:

Assumptions:

- Single vendor, single buyer with one item is assumed.
- Single item with constant deteriorating rate of the on hand inventory in considered.
- The holding cost is varying as an increasing step function of time in storage.
- Shortages are allowed with partial backlogging.
- A stationary policy where the same lot size is assumed.
- Demand rate is depending on time.
- Inflation is considered.
- Permissible delay on payment is considered.

Notations:

I. The parameters related to vendor are:

- $I_{v1}(t)$ Inventory level for vendor when t is between 0 and T_1
- $I_{v2}(t)$ Inventory level for vendor when t is between 0 and T_2
- I_{mv} Maximum inventory for vendor
- P_v The unit production cost for vendor
- F_{vj} Holding cost of the item in period j for vendor.
- $F_v(t)$ Holding cost of the item at time t, $F_v(t) = F_{vj}$ if $t_{j-1} < t < t_j$.
- C_{sv} The set up cost per production cycle for vendor.
- VC Total vendor's cost per unit item.

II. The parameters related to buyer are:

- $I_b(t)$ Inventory level for buyer.
- I_{mb} Maximum inventory for buyer
- P_b The unit price cost for buyer.
- F_{bj} Holding cost of the item in period j for buyer.
- $F_b(t) \quad \text{Holding cost of the item at time } t, \ F_b(t) = F_{bj} \ \text{if } t_{j\text{-}1} < t < t_j.$
- C_{sb} The set up cost per order for buyer.
- C₂ Shortage cost per item for backlogged items.
- C_3 The unit cost of lost sales.

- B(t) Denote the fraction where t is the waiting time up to the next replenishment. We take $B(t) = 1/(1+\delta t)$, where the backlogging parameter is a positive constant.
- BC Total buyer's cost per unit item.

The other related parameters are as follows:

a+ct Demand rate where a and c are positive constants and I(t) is the inventory level at time t.

- T Time length of each cycle, where $T = T_1 + T_2$
- θ Deterioration rate
- T₁ The length of production time in each production cycle.
- T₂ The length of non production time
- P The production rate per year
- n Number of distinct time periods with different holding cost rates.
- r Inflation rate
- TC The integrate cost of vendor and all buyer per unit item.
- M The period of permissible delay in setting account.
- t_1 ' The time at which shortage occur.

MATHEMATICAL MODEL

A. Vendor's Inventory System:

In this model the production starts at time zero for vendor with constant rate P from the starting of each cycle i.e. at t=0. Inventory level increases due to production and decreases due to demand and deterioration upto time T_1 and reaches at maximum value I_{mv} as shown in the Fig.1

$$I_{V1}'(t) + \theta I_{V1}(t) = P - [a + ct], \quad 0 \le t \le T_1 \qquad \dots (1)$$

After time T_1 the inventory level decreases due to demand and deterioration up to time T_2 and reaches at the zero level at time T_2 .

$$I_{V2}'(t) + \theta I_{V2}(t) = -[a+ct], \quad 0 \le t \le T_2 \qquad \dots (2)$$

We have boundary conditions



Fig 1. Inventory system for vendor

Now the solutions of the above differential equations are

$$I_{V1}(t) = (1 - e^{-(\theta)t}) \left(\frac{P - a}{\theta} + \frac{c}{(\theta)^2} \right) - \frac{ct}{\theta} \qquad 0 \le t \le T_1 \qquad \dots (3)$$

$$I_{V_2}(t) = \left[\frac{a}{\theta} - \frac{c}{(\theta)^2}\right] \left(e^{(\theta)(T_2 - t)} - 1\right) + \frac{c}{(\theta)}(T_2 e^{(\theta)(T_2 - t)} - t) \quad 0 \le t \le T_2 \qquad \dots (4)$$

B. Buyer's Inventory System:

Buyer cycle starts with the maximum inventory I_{mb} at t = 0 and this inventory gradually depletes to zero at time t_1 ' due to the simultaneous effect of demand and deterioration, shown by the Fig. 1.2.

$$I_{b1}'(t) + \theta I_{b1}(t) = -[a+ct], \qquad 0 \le t \le t_1'$$
 ...(5)

After time t_1 ' partial backlogging occurs and the change in the inventory is directed by the following differential equation.

$$I_{b2}'(t) = -\frac{[a+ct]}{1+\delta\left(\frac{T}{n}-t\right)} \quad t_1' < t \le T/n \qquad \dots(6)$$

Boundary condition is $I_{b1}(t_1') = 0 = I_{b2}(t_1')$



Fig 2 : Inventory system for buyer when shortage is allowed Now the solutions of the above differential equations are

$$I_{b1}(t) = \left(\frac{a}{\theta} - \frac{c}{(\theta)^2}\right) \left(e^{(\theta)(t_1'-t)} - 1\right) + \frac{c}{(\theta)} \left(t_1' e^{(\theta)(t_1'-t)} - t\right), \quad 0 \le t \le t_1' \qquad \dots (7)$$

$$I_{b2}(t) = -\frac{1}{\delta} \left[a + \frac{c}{\delta} \left(1 + \delta \frac{T}{n}\right)\right] \log \left\{\frac{\left(1 + \delta \left(\frac{T}{n} - t_1'\right)\right)}{\left(1 + \delta \left(\frac{T}{n} - t\right)\right)}\right\} + \frac{c}{\delta} (t - t_1') \qquad t_1' \le t \le T/n \qquad (9)$$

...(8)

By using the boundary condition $I_{mv} = I_{v2}(0)$ and $I_{mb} = I_{b1}(0)$, we have

$$I_{mv} = T_2(a + cT_2) + \frac{T_2^2}{2} [a(\theta) - c + cT_2(\theta)] \qquad \dots (9)$$

$$I_{mb} = t_1'(a + ct_1') + \frac{t_1'^2}{2} \left[a(\theta) - c + ct_1'(\theta) \right] \qquad \dots (10)$$

By the boundary condition, $I_{v1}(T_1) = I_{v2}(0)$, we can derive the following equation:

International Journal of Education and Science Research Review www.ijesrr.org June- 2017, Volume-4, Issue-3 Email- editor@ijesrr.org

P-ISSN 2349-1817 E-ISSN 2348-6457

$$\left(p-a\right)\left(T_{1}-\frac{(\theta)T_{1}^{2}}{2}\right)-\frac{cT_{1}^{2}}{2}=T_{2}(a+cT_{2})+\frac{T_{2}^{2}}{2}\left[a(\theta)-c+cT_{2}(\theta)\right]$$

By Taylor's series expansion and the assumption $\theta \square$ 1 & by neglecting some small terms, we get

$$T_{1} = \frac{(a+cT_{2})T_{2}}{(p-a)} + \frac{T_{2}^{2}}{2(p-a)} [(\theta)(a+cT_{2})-c] \qquad \dots(11)$$
$$T = T_{1} + T_{2}$$

Knowing

We can derive

$$T = T_2 \left[1 + \frac{(a + cT_2)}{(p - a)} + \frac{T_2}{2(p - a)} \left((\theta)(a + cT_2) - c \right) \right] \qquad \dots (12)$$

Case I: Retroactive holding cost increase The yearly holding cost for all the buyers and vendors are

$$HC_{b} = \frac{F_{bj}n}{T} \int_{0}^{t_{1}'} I_{b1}(t)e^{-rt} dt$$

= $\frac{F_{bj}n}{T} \left[\frac{(a+ct_{1}')t_{1}'^{2}}{2} - \frac{t_{1}'^{2}}{2r} \left\{ (\theta)(a+ct_{1}') - c \right\} \right] \qquad \dots (13)$

and

$$HC_{v} = \frac{F_{vj}}{T} \left[\int_{0}^{T_{1}} I_{v1}(t) e^{-rt} dt + e^{-rT_{1}} \int_{0}^{T_{2}} I_{v2}(t) e^{-rt} dt - n \int_{0}^{T_{1}'} I_{b1}(t) e^{-rt} dt \right]$$
$$= \frac{F_{vj}}{T} \left[(p-a) \left\{ \frac{T_{1}^{2}}{2} - \frac{rT_{1}^{3}}{2} + \frac{(\theta)rT_{1}^{4}}{4} \right\} + \frac{crT_{1}^{4}}{4} + e^{-rT_{1}} \left\{ \frac{(a+cT_{2})T_{2}^{2}}{2} \right\} \right] \qquad \dots (14)$$

Case II: Incremental holding cost increase:

$$HC_{b} = \frac{n}{T} \left[F_{b1} \int_{0}^{t_{1}} I_{b1}(t) e^{-rt} dt + F_{b2} \int_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}} I_{b1}(t) e^{-rt} dt + \dots + F_{bc} \int_{t_{c-1}}^{t_{c}=t_{1}} I_{b1}(t) e^{-rt} dt \right]$$

$$= \frac{n}{T} \sum_{j=1}^{c} F_{bj} \int_{t_{j-1}}^{t_{j}} I_{b1}(t) e^{-rt} dt$$

$$= \frac{n}{T} \sum_{j=1}^{c} F_{bj} \left[(a + ct_{1}) \left\{ -(t_{1} - t_{j}) \frac{e^{-rt_{j}}}{r} + \frac{e^{-rt_{j}}}{r^{2}} + (t_{1} - t_{j-1}) \frac{e^{-rt_{j-1}}}{r} - \frac{e^{-rt_{j-1}}}{r^{2}} \right\}$$

$$+ \left\{ \frac{a(\theta) - c + ct_{1}'(\theta)}{2} \right\} \left\{ -(t_{1} - t_{j})^{2} \frac{e^{-rt_{j}}}{r} + 2(t_{1} - t_{j}) - 2 \frac{e^{-rt_{j}}}{r^{3}} \right\}$$

$$+ (t_{1} - t_{j-1})^{2} \frac{e^{-rt_{j-1}}}{r} - \frac{2(t_{1} - t_{j-1})e^{-rt_{j-1}}}{r^{2}} + \frac{2e^{-rt_{j-1}}}{r^{3}} \right\} \right] \qquad \dots (15)$$

$$HC_{v} = \frac{1}{T} \left[F_{v1} \int_{0}^{t_{1}} I_{v1}(t)e^{-rt} dt + e^{-rt_{1}}F_{v2} \int_{0}^{t_{2}} I_{v2}(t)e^{-rt} dt$$

International Journal of Education and Science Research Review www.ijesrr.org June- 2017, Volume-4, Issue-3 Email- editor@ijesrr.org

P-ISSN 2349-1817 E-ISSN 2348-6457

$$-n\left\{F_{b1}\int_{0}^{t_{1}}I_{b1}(t)e^{-rt}dt + F_{b2}\int_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}}I_{b1}(t)e^{-rt}dt + \dots + F_{bc}\int_{t_{c-1}}^{t_{c}=t_{1}'}I_{b1}(t)e^{-rt}dt\right\}\right]$$

$$HC_{v} = \frac{1}{T}\left[(p-a)F_{v1}\left\{\frac{T_{1}^{2}}{2} - \frac{rT_{1}^{3}}{2} + (\theta+b)\frac{rT_{1}^{4}}{4}\right\} + \frac{F_{v1}crT_{1}^{4}}{4} + e^{-rT_{1}}\frac{F_{v2}(a+cT_{2})T_{2}^{2}}{2}\right]$$

$$-n\sum_{j=1}^{c}F_{bj}(a+ct_{1}')\left\{\left\{-(t_{1}'-t_{j})\frac{e^{-rt_{j}}}{r} + \frac{e^{-rt_{j}}}{r^{2}} + (t_{1}'-t_{j-1})\frac{e^{-rt_{j-1}}}{r} - \frac{e^{-rt_{j-1}}}{r^{2}}\right\}$$

$$+\left\{\frac{a(\theta)-c+ct_{1}'(\theta)}{2}\right\}\left\{-(t_{1}'-t_{j})^{2}\frac{e^{-rt_{j}}}{r} + 2(t_{1}'-t_{j}) - 2\frac{e^{-rt_{j}}}{r^{3}}$$

$$+(t_{1}'-t_{j-1})^{2}\frac{e^{-rt_{j-1}}}{r} - \frac{2(t_{1}'-t_{j-1})e^{-rt_{j-1}}}{r^{2}} + \frac{2e^{-rt_{j-1}}}{r^{3}}\right\}\right\}$$

$$\dots(16)$$

When $t_1' > M$

Interest payable per cycle per unit time is $m P I = \frac{t_1}{t_1}$

$$IP = \frac{nP_b I_p}{T} \int_{M}^{h} I_{b1}(t) e^{-rt} dt$$

$$= \frac{nP_b I_p}{T} \int_{M}^{h} \left[(t_1' - t)(a + ct_1') + \frac{(t_1' - t)^2}{2} \left\{ a(\theta) - c + ct_1'(\theta) \right\} \right] e^{-rt} dt$$

$$= \frac{nP_b I_p}{T} \left[(a + ct_1')(t_1' - M) \left(t_1' - \frac{M}{2} + \frac{rM}{2}^2 \right) + \left\{ \frac{(\theta)(a + ct_1') - c}{2} \right\} (t_1' - M) \left\{ -Mt_1' + \frac{M}{2}^2 (t_1' - M) \right\} \right] \qquad \dots (17)$$

Interest earned per cycle per unit time is

$$IE = \frac{nP_bI_e}{T} \int_0^{t_1'} e^{-rt} (a+ct)dt$$

= $\frac{nP_bI_e}{T} \left[(a+ct_1')(t_1'-\frac{rt_1'^2}{2}) \right] \dots(18)$

(ii) When $t_1' \leq M$

Interest earned up to time t_1 ' is

$$IE = P_b I_e \int_{0}^{t_1'} e^{-rt} (a+ct) dt$$

= $P_b I_e \left[(a+ct_1')(t_1'-\frac{rt_1'^2}{2}) \right]$
Interest earned during $(M-t_1')$ is $P_b I_e \left[(a+ct_1')(t_1'-\frac{rt_1'^2}{2}) \right]_{t_1'}^{M} e^{-rt} dt$

International Journal of Education and Science Research Review

www.ijesrr.org June- 2017, Volume-4, Issue-3 Email- editor@ijesrr.org

P-ISSN 2349-1817 E-ISSN 2348-6457

$$=P_{b}I_{e}\left[(a+ct_{1}')(t_{1}'-\frac{rt_{1}'^{2}}{2})\right]\left(\frac{e^{-rt_{1}'}}{r}-\frac{e^{-rM}}{r}\right)$$

Total Interest earned per unit time is

$$IE = \frac{nP_b I_e}{T} \left[(a + ct_1') \left(t_1' - \frac{rt_1'^2}{2} \right) \right] \left(1 + \frac{e^{-rt_1'}}{r} - \frac{e^{-rM}}{r} \right) \qquad \dots (19)$$

In this case customer pays no interest.

The annual deteriorated costs for all buyer and vendor are:

$$DC_{b} = \frac{nP_{b}}{T} \left[I_{Mb} - \int_{0}^{t_{1}'} (a+ct)e^{-rt} dt \right]$$

$$= \frac{nP_{b}}{T} t_{1}^{-r2} \left[\left(\frac{a(\theta) - c}{2} \right) + \frac{ar}{2} \right] \qquad \dots (20)$$

$$DC_{v} = \frac{P_{v}}{T} \left[PT_{1} - nI_{Mb} \right]$$

$$= \frac{P_{v}}{T} \left[PT_{1} - n \left\{ t_{1}'(a + ct_{1}') + \frac{t_{1}'^{2}}{2} \left((\theta)(a + ct_{1}') - c \right) \right\} \right] \qquad \dots (21)$$

The set up cost per year for all buyer and vendor are:

$$SC_b = \frac{nC_{sb}}{T} \qquad \dots (22)$$

$$SC_{v} = \frac{C_{sv}}{T} \qquad \dots (23)$$

Shortage cost per cycle for the buyer

$$AS_{b} = \frac{nC_{2}}{T} \int_{t_{1}'}^{t_{n}} -I_{b2}(t)e^{-rt}dt$$

$$= -\frac{nC_{2}}{\delta T} \left[\left\{ a + \frac{c}{\delta}(1+\delta\frac{T}{n}) \right\} \log \left\{ 1 + \delta \left(\frac{T}{n} - t_{1}'\right) \right\} \left\{ \frac{T}{n} - t_{1}' - \frac{1}{\delta} \left(1 - \frac{r}{2\delta} \left(1 + \delta\frac{T}{n} \right) \right) \right\} \right]$$

$$\left(1 + \delta\frac{T}{n} \right) + \left(t_{1}' - \frac{rt_{1}'^{2}}{2} \right) + \frac{c}{\delta r} \left(\frac{T}{n} - t_{1}' \right) \left(1 - e^{-r\frac{T}{n}} \right) - \left\{ a + \frac{c}{\delta}(1+\delta\frac{T}{n}) \right\}$$

$$\left(\frac{T}{n} - t_{1}' \right) \left\{ \frac{r}{4} \left(\frac{T}{n} + t_{1}' \right) - \left(1 - \frac{r}{2\delta} \left(1 + \delta\frac{T}{n} \right) \right) \right\} \right] \qquad \dots (24)$$

Opportunity cost per cycle due to lost sales

٦

Г

$$OC = \frac{nC_3}{T} \int_{t_1'}^{T/n} \left[1 - \frac{1}{1 + \delta\left(\frac{T}{n} - t\right)} \right] (a + ct) e^{-rt} dt$$

$$= \frac{nC_3}{T} \left[\left(e^{-rT/n} - e^{-rt_1'} \right) \left\{ -\frac{c}{r^3} - \frac{c}{r^2} - \frac{2\delta c}{r^4} - \frac{\delta a}{r^3} \right\} - \frac{\delta cT}{r^3 n} e^{-rT/n} + \frac{\delta ct_1'}{r^3} e^{-rt_1'} - \frac{c\delta}{r^3} \left(\frac{T}{n} - t_1' \right) e^{-rt_1'} \right] \qquad \dots (25)$$

Case I: Retroactive holding cost increase:

(i) When $t_1' > M$

Total Cost (TC_{1a}) = VC + BC

 $= HC_{b} + DC_{b} + SC_{b} + AS_{b} + OC + HC_{v} + DC_{v} + SC_{v} +$ Interest payable – Interest earned

Where HC_b , DC_b , SC_b , AS_b , OC, HC_v , DC_v , SC_v , Interest payable and Interest earned are (13), (20), (22), (24), (25), (14), (21), (23), (17) and (18) respectively. The necessary and sufficient conditions for the total relevant cost per unit time to be minimize are

$$\frac{\partial TC_{1a}(T_2, t_1')}{\partial T_2} = 0 \quad \frac{\partial TC_{1a}(T_2, t_1')}{\partial t_1'} = 0$$
$$\frac{\partial^2 TC_{1a}(T_2, t_1')}{\partial T_2^2} > 0, \qquad \frac{\partial^2 TC_{1a}(T_2, t_1')}{\partial t_1'^2} > 0$$
$$\left(\frac{\partial^2 TC_{1a}(T_2, t_1')}{\partial T_2^2}\right) \left(\frac{\partial^2 TC_{1a}(T_2, t_1')}{\partial t_1'^2}\right) - \left(\frac{\partial^2 TC_{1a}(T_2, t_1')}{\partial t_1' \partial T_2}\right) > 0$$

and

(ii) When $t_1 \leq M$ Total Cost (TC_{1b}) = VC + BC

 $=HC_b + DC_b + SC_b + AS_b + HC_v + DC_v + SC_v$ - Interest earned

Where HC_b , DC_b , SC_b , AS_b , OC, HC_v , DC_v , SC_v and Interest earned are (13), (20), (22), (24), (25), (14), (21), (23) and (19) respectively.

CONCLUSION

An inventory model was developed for deteriorating items with supply chain, permitting shortage under inflation and time-value of money. In particular, the backlogging rate considered to be a decreasing function in the waiting time until the next replenishment is more realistic. It is true that the stock-out is very difficult to measure. The proposed model can be extended in numerous ways. For example, the demand can be taken as a more generalized pattern that fluctuates with stock or price or stochastic.

REFERENCES

- 1. Aggarwal, S.P. and Jaggi, C.K. (1995), Ordering policies of Deteriorating items under permissible delay in payments. Journal of Operational Research Society 46, 658-662.
- 2. Berrotoni, J.N. (1962) Practical Applications of Weibull distribution ASQC. Tech. Conf. Trans. 303-323.
- 3. Covert, R.P. and Philip, G.C. (1973) An EOQ model for deteriorating items with weibull distributions deterioration, AIIE Trans 5, 323- 332.
- 4. Buzacott, J.A. (1975) Economic order quantities with inflation. Operational Research Quarterly 26, 1188-1191.
- 5. Mishra, R.B. (1975) Optimum production lotsize model for a system with deteriorating inventory, Int. J. Prod. Res. 13, 161-165.
- 6. Biermans, H. and Thomas, J. (1977) Inventory decisions under inflationary conditions Decision Sciences 8, 151-155.
- 7. Bhahmbhatt, A.C. (1982) Economic order quantity under variable rate inflation and mark-up prices Productivity 23, 127-130.
- Jesse, R.R., Mitra, A. and Cox, J.F. (1983) EOQ formula is it valid under inflationary conditions? Decision Sciences 14(3), 370-374.
- 9. Dave, U. and Patel, L.K. (1983) (T.So.) policy inventory model for deteriorating items with time proportional demand. J. Opl. Res. Soc. 20, 99-106.
- 10. Goyal, S.K. (1985) Economic order quantity under conditions of permissible delay in payments. Journal of Operational Research Society 36, 335-338.
- 11. Deb, M. and Chaudhuri K.S. (1986) An EOQ model for items with finite of production and variable rate of deterioration. Opsearch 23, 175-181.
- 12. Chandra, J.M. and Bahner, M.L. (1988) The effects of inflation and the value of money or some inventory systems. International Journal of Production Economics 23, (4) 723-730.
- 13. Mandal, B.N. and Phaujdar, S. (1989) An inventory model for Operational Research Society 40, 483-488.

International Journal of Education and Science Research ReviewP-ISSN 2349-1817www.ijesrr.orgJune- 2017, Volume-4, Issue-3Email- editor@ijesrr.orgE-ISSN 2348-6457

- 14. Liao, H.C., Tsai, C.H. and SU, C.T.(2000) An inventory model with deteriorating items under inflation when a delay in payment is permissible International Journal of Production Economics 63, 207-214.
- 15. Chung, K.J., Huang, Y.F. and Huang, C.K. (2002) The replenishment decision for EOQ inventory model under permissible delay in payments. Opsearch 39, 5 & 6, 327-340.
- 16. Chung, K.J. and Hwang, Y.F. (2003) The optimal cycle time for EOQ inventory model under permissible delay in payments. International Journal of Production Economics 84, 307-318.
- 17. Chung, K.J. and Huang, T-S. (2005) The algorithm to the EOQ model for Inventory control and trade credit. Journal of Operational Research Society 42, 16-27.
- 18. Bharat G., Bansal K.K. [2015] A Deterministic of Some Inventory System For Deteriorating Items With An Inventory Level Dependent Demand Rate. *International Journal of Education and Science Research Review2[6]* 94-96
- 19. Bansal K.K.[2012] Production Inventory Model with Price Dependent Demand and Deterioration . International Journal of Soft Computing and Engineering (IJSCE) 2[2] 447-451
- 20. Bansal K.K. [2016] A Supply Chain Model With Shortages Under Inflationary Environment. Uncertain Supply Chain Management 4[4] 331-340
- 21. Anand, Bansal K.K.[2013] An Optimal Production Model or Deteriorating Item WithStocks and Price Sensitive Demand Rate. *Journal of Engineering, Computers & Applied Sciences (JEC&AS) 2[7] 32-37*
- 22. Kumar A., Bansal K.K.[2014] A Deterministic Inventory Model for a Deteriorating Item Is Explored In an Inflationary Environment for an Infinite Planning Horizon. *International Journal of Education and Science Research Review1* [4] 79-86
- 23. Ahalawat N., Bansal K.K. [2012] Optimal Ordering Decision for Deteriorated Items with Expiration Date and Uncertain Lead Time. *International Journal Of Management Research And Review Vol.* 2(6) 1054-1074
- 24. Garg M., Bansal K.K.[2014] Production Model with Time Dependent Deterioration and Demand under Inflation. International Journal of Education and Science Research Review1 [2] 1-10
- 25. Kumar A., Singh A., Bansal K.K.[2016] Two Warehouse Inventory Model with Ramp Type Demand, Shortages under Inflationary Environment. *IOSR Journal of Mathematics (IOSR-JM) Vol.12* [3]
- 26. Bansal K.K., Ahalawat N. [2013] Inventory System with Stock & Time Dependent Demand, Permissible Delay in Payments under Inflation. *International Journal of Research and Development, Vol I* [II]
- 27. Bansal K.K., Ahalawat N. [2013] Effect of Inflation on Supply Chain Model With Exponential Demand. *Multi Disciplinary* Edu Global Quest (Quarterly), Volume 2[1] 33-50
- 28. Bansal K.K. [2016] A Supply Chain Model With Shortages Under Inflationary Environment. Uncertain Supply Chain Management 4 (2016) 331-340
- 29. Sharma M.K., Bansal K.K. [2017] Inventory Model for Non-Instantaneous Decaying Items with Learning Effect under Partial Backlogging and Inflation. *Global Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics.vol.13* [6] pp. 1999-2008
- 30. Dye, C.Y. (2002) a deteriorating inventory model with stock dependent demand and partial backlogging under condition of permissible delay in payments. Opsearch 39,(3 & 4).
- 31. Mishra, V.K. and Singh, L.S. (2010) Deterministic inventory model for deteriorating items with time dependent demand and partial backlogging, Applied Mathematical Sciences Vol.4, no. 72,3611-3619.
- 32. Mandal, Biswarajan (2013) Inventory model for random deteriorating items with a linear trended in demand and partial backlogging. Research Journal Of Business Management and Accounting. Vol. 2(3), 48-52.
- 33. Mishra V.K. (2013) Inventory model for instantaneous deteriorating items controlling deterioration rate with time dependent demand and holding cost. Journal of Industrial Engineering and Management, 6(2), 495-506.
- 34. Chaudhary R & Singh S. R. (2010). " An inventory model with time dependent demand and deterioration under partial backlogging ", International Transactions in Applied Sciences January-March 2011, Volume 3, No. 1, pp. 71-78